

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX: 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

JJGJr: 07-03

Paper No: 10

HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE CA 94086 COPY MAILED
AUG 0 7 2003
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Corrigan, et al.

Application No.09/832,738

Filed: 10 April, 2001

Attorney Docket No.: SLM-05800

DECISION

This is a decision on the petition filed on 6 June, 2003, to revive the instant nonprovisional application under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f), and considered as a petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b),.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of Petitioner identifies as "an International Application" filed on 27 March, 2002. However, while Petitioner rescinded his non-publication request on 6 May, 2002, (within 45 days of the international application filing) Petitioner unintentionally failed to "Notice" the US Patent and Trademark Office within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the international application.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 C.F.R. §1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reply, which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(m); and

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 C.F.R. §1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 USC 122(b)(2)(B)(I) has been rescinded. A Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request indicating a projected publication date of 6 November, 2003 accompanies this decision.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 2600 for further processing in due course.

Inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-9199.

John J. Gillon, Jr. Senior Attorney Office of Petitions

Enc: Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request